



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,329	03/13/2002	Yoshihito Ohta	10873.881USWO	10873.881USWO 2865	
53148 7	01/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•	HUMANN, MUELLER	XIAO	XIAO, KE		
P.O. BOX 2902-0902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2675		

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/088,329	OHTA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Ke Xiao	2675

before the riling of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ke Xiao	2675					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow 	REPLY FILED 30 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)						
 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	ust be filed within one	of the following				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	hart mains and the state of filters as heigh						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE Delowy,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>11-13</u> . Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 14-22</u> .			•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an 							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	·		•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13.	L	mati hi	Lucuit				
SUMATI LEFKOWITZ ()							
		man 110 11116					

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant contends that the limitation that the period is variably set for each frame is not met by Nakajima. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Nakajima states that it is important to set the voltage and period of the assist pulse in order to best correspond to the assist signal, and since the assist signal can change from frame to frame depending on the actual image signal the voltage and period of the assist pulse must also be variable.